

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested. Claims 25 and 28 have been amended. Replacement sheets for FIGs. 1, 5 and 7 have provided. A new Abstract has been provided. Applicants believe that the claims, as now presented, are clearly allowable.

The drawings were objected to under 37 CFR § 1.83(a). FIGs. 1 and 5 have been amended and new FIG. 7 has been added to overcome the objection, as described above. No new matter has been added. Applicants respectfully request that the drawing objection be withdrawn.

The Abstract was objected to since it did not read on the currently claimed method invention. Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.72, a new Abstract, which does not exceed 150 words, describing the currently claimed method invention has been provided to overcome the rejection. Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the Abstract be withdrawn.

The Specification was objected to for allegedly failing to describe a chock. Applicants respectfully disagree, since the chock is described on page 8, paragraph [0061] as a “chock ... equivalent in size to a plate 24A or 24B, but with a thickness representative of the thickness of an assembly.” Regardless, Applicants have amended the Specification to more consistently define the chock as center chock 70 and added new FIG. 7 to illustrate the use of center chock 70 as described in the specification. No new matter has been added. Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the Specification be withdrawn.

Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not being enabling. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Applicants believe that the Examiner's assertion is incorrect, since the originally filed Specification describes the center chock and its use in paragraphs [0060] to [0083]. Applicants have amended selected paragraphs and provide new FIG. 7 to identify the center chock with reference designator 70. No new matter has been added by these amendments. Therefore, the disclosure of center chock 70 in the Specification in combination with new FIG. 7 showing the use of the chock as currently described in the Specification provides an enabling disclosure. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Section 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 25-28 be withdrawn.

Claims 25-28 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants have amended claims 25 and 28 and believe these amendments overcome the rejection.

Applicants have amended claim 25 to address each element identified by the Examiner in the outstanding Office Action. Therefore, Applicants believe these amendments overcome the Section 112, second paragraph, rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Section 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 25-28 be withdrawn.

Therefore, Applicants believe that the claims are now allowable and respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the Section 112, first and second paragraph, rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for the currently pending claims.

All claims as currently presented are believed to be in condition for allowance.

Passage of the application to issue at an early date is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

MILES & STOCKBRIDGE P.C.

By: 
Edward J. Kondracki
Reg. No. 20,604
David R. Schaffer
Reg. No. 43,089

1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 500
McLean, Virginia 22102-3833
Telephone: (703) 610-8627
#9267566v1

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached replacement drawing sheets contain revised versions of FIGs. 1 and 5 and a new FIG. 7. In FIG. 1, reference number “33B” has been added to explicitly identify the electrical insulant inserted between plate 24B and integrated circuit 4B, which was described as such on page 5, paragraph [0041] of the originally filed specification. In FIG. 5, a horizontal support 50, which was described on page 7, paragraph [0051] of the originally filed specification, has been added. In new FIG. 7, original FIG. 1 was modified to show a center chock 70 as described at least on page 8, paragraph [0061] of the originally filed specification and as amended herein. No new matter has been added by the above-described amendments to the drawings.